

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/707,883	MATSUDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jessica L. Rossi	1733	

All Participants:

(1) Jessica L. Rossi.

(2) Mr. Fagin.

Date of Interview: 25 November 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner pointed out that claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as set forth in paragraph 8 of the previous office action, because Ozawa (JP 6-29332) teaches moving the roller up and down (along the z axis) and rotating the roller about a theta axis (central, rolling axis about which roller rolls). Applicants agreed to amend claim 1 to state -- said theta axis being an axis other than a central, rolling axis about which said attaching roller rolls-- (support found in Figure 2B, p. 6, line 20, and p. 8, lines 8-10) in order to distinguish claim 1 from the prior art of record. Applicants also agreed to change dependency of claim 15, since claim 11 was canceled.